

<b>SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE</b> Coating, Printing, Plating, Military and Entertainment Operations Team  <b>PERMIT APPLICATION EVALUATION</b>	Page 1 of 5 App. number 521532, 521697 Processed by J Pandes Villacorte Reviewed by SMKE Date 9/24/12
---	---

<p align="center"><b>PERMIT TO OPERATE EVALUATION</b>  <b>Dry-off and bake Oven,</b>  <b>Modification with Low-NO<sub>x</sub> Burner - Rule 1147</b></p>
--

**Applicant's Name:** McDowell and Craig Manufacturing Co.

**Company ID No.:** 17841

**Mailing Address:** 13146 E. Firestone Blvd., Norwalk, CA 90650

**Equipment Address:** 13146 E. Firestone Blvd., Norwalk, CA 90650

**EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

**Application no. 521532 (Standardized Permit, Modification to PO #R-A11015, A/N A10510):**

~~DRY-OFF PREHEAT~~ AND ~~BAKE DRYING~~ OVEN, CIRCLE INDUSTRIAL, 11'-0" W. X 46'-0" L. X 18'-0" H., CONSISTING OF:

1. UPPER ~~DRY-OFF PRE-HEAT~~ CHAMBER, WITH ONE 1,000,000 BTU PER HOUR NATURAL GAS-FIRED BURNER, ONE 10 HP. CIRCULATING FAN, AND ONE 7.5-HP. AIR SEAL FAN (RULE 219 EXEMPT).
2. LOWER ~~BAKE DRYING~~ CHAMBER, WITH ONE MAXON, CYCLOMAX, 2,200,000-2,700,000 BTU PER HOUR NATURAL GAS-FIRED LOW NO<sub>x</sub> BURNER, ONE 10-HP. CIRCULATING FAN, AND ONE 7.5-HP. AIR SEAL FAN.

**Application no. 521697:**

TITLE V PERMIT REVISION, DE MINIMIS SIGNIFICANT

**HISTORY:**

These applications were submitted by McDowell and Craig Manufacturing Company, Inc. on April 13, 2011 for the modification of a pre-heat and drying oven in order to comply with the NO<sub>x</sub> emission requirements of Rule 1147. The facility replaced the 2,200,000 BTU per hour main burner in the drying chamber burner with a Maxon, Cyclomax, 2,700,000 BTU/hour low-NO<sub>x</sub> burner. The new burner has a higher rating than the one being replaced because, according to the applicant, a suitable burner of the same or lower BTU rating was not available.

The facility was required to source test the oven burners in order to demonstrate that the new burner can meet the NO<sub>x</sub> emission requirement of 30 ppm, per Rule 1147(c)(1). The testing conditions were given to the facility in a letter dated May 5, 2011. See a copy in this file. The facility conducted the source test on January 30, 2012. The source test results report was approved and found to be 'conditionally acceptable' by the Monitoring and Analysis Division. The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emission factors were approved for compliance determination, as well as for emission calculations.

<b>SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE</b> Coating, Printing, Plating, Military and Entertainment Operations Team  <b>PERMIT APPLICATION EVALUATION</b>	Page	2 of 5
	App. number	521532, 521697
	Processed by	J Pandes Villacorte
	Reviewed by	SMKE
	Date	9/24/12

A/N	Previous		Equipment
	Permit No.	A/N	
521532	R-A11015	A10510	Preheat and drying oven, with Maxon, Cyclomax, low-NOx, natural gas-fired 2,700,000 BTU/hr burner replacing a 2,200,000 BTU/hr burner in the drying oven.
521697	-	-	Title V permit revision, de minimis significant

According to the compliance database, there have been no notices of violation (NOV) issued to this facility in the past two years. One Notice to Comply (NC #E13513) was issued on 6/19/12 as a written ‘warning’ for the facility to submit future Title V 500-ACC and 500-SAM in a timely manner. No complaints have been filed against this facility in the past two years. This is the first revision since the Title V renewal issued on September 2, 2011. Also included in this revision will be an update to Section A, responsible official and contact person since both have left the company. Jeff McDowell, President, will be the new contact person and responsible official.

### **PROCESS DESCRIPTION:**

McDowell and Craig Mfg. Company manufactures metal office furniture. The facility operates three spray booths and one drying oven. The oven is used as part of a conveyORIZED dry-off and baking process. However, prior to parts reaching the oven, the parts are processed through an enclosed cleaning system, which is exempt from permitting under Rule 219(o)(3). Once the parts exit the cleaning system, they enter the upper dry-off chamber of the dry-off and bake oven (A/N 521532). A 1 mmBTU/hr burner is used to dry off any remaining water from the cleaning process. This burner is exempt from permitting under Rule 219(b)(2) and (p)(4). See email in this file, dated March 29, 2011. The parts then go through the conveyORIZED spray booth where high-solid bake enamel is applied (PO #F36436, A/N 338094). From there, the parts enter the lower chamber where the enamel coating bakes. The original 2,200,000 BTU/hr natural gas-fired burner in this chamber was replaced by a Maxon, Cyclomax, low-NOx, 2,700,000 BTU/hr burner (Rule 1147). This oven operates at a maximum temperature of 455°F (set to 450°F during the source test on 1/30/12).

The typical operating schedule is 8 hours per day, 6 days per week and 52 weeks per year, while the maximum operating schedule is 24 hr/day, 7 day/week, and 52 week/year.

### **EMISSION CALCULATIONS:**

The replacement low-NO<sub>x</sub>, 2.7 mmBTU/hr burner has a higher BTU rating and lower NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (<1 lb/day). There is a 1 mmBTU/hr burner in the pre-heat chamber, but it is exempt from permitting under Rule 219(p)(4)(A). Although CO and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions were not calculated under the previous permit evaluation, their emissions compared to the old emissions using default emission factors shows a small increase. The emissions were calculated using spreadsheets, which are in this file as Attachments 1 and 2. See the emissions summary table on the next page.

The previous permit had associated ROG emission of 1.41 lb/hr (33.8 lb/day), which occur during the drying process from the VOC-containing materials that are applied to the metal parts. There will be no change to these emissions under this application. Therefore, the ROG will be transferred to the new permit.

<b>SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE</b> Coating, Printing, Plating, Military and Entertainment Operations Team  <b>PERMIT APPLICATION EVALUATION</b>	Page	3 of 5
	App. number	521532, 521697
	Processed by	J Pandes Villacorte
	Reviewed by	SMKE
	Date	9/24/12

### Summary of Emission Increases/Decreases Compared to Previous Permit to Operate

A/N	Burner rating (BTU/hr)	NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions		CO Emissions		PM <sub>10</sub> Emissions		ROG Emissions	
		(lb/hr)	(lb/day)	(lb/hr)	(lb/day)	(lb/hr)	(lb/day)	(lb/hr)	(lb/day)
521532	2,700,000	0.099	2.37	0.195	4.68	0.020	0.47	1.41 <sup>(a)</sup>	33.8 <sup>(a)</sup>
A-10510 (previous permit)	2,200,000	0.272	6.537	0.073 <sup>(b)</sup>	1.76 <sup>(b)</sup>	0.016 <sup>(b)</sup>	0.38 <sup>(b)</sup>	1.41	33.8
Difference		-0.173	-4.167	+0.122	+2.92	+0.004	+0.09	0.0	0.0

Notes: (a) From VOC-containing material thruput. No change from previous permit.

(b) Not calculated under previous evaluation (A/N A10510), but based on default emission factors.

## **RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

### **RULE 212: SIGNIFICANT PROJECT PUBLIC NOTIFICATION**

A public notice is not required for this project since the equipment is not within 1000 feet of a school, there will be no significant increase in emissions [below Rule 212(g) thresholds], and the increase in MICR due to the increase in burner BTU rating was  $<1 \times 10^{-6}$  ( $7.48 \times 10^{-8}$  actual).

### **RULE 401: VISIBLE EMISSIONS**

Visible emissions from the operation of this equipment are not expected. No complaints, N/C or NOV have been issued in the previous two years for visible emissions.

### **RULE 402: NUISANCE**

The operation of this equipment is expected to comply with this rule. Operation of the replacement natural gas-fired burner is not expected to result in any odors. No complaints, N/C or NOV have been issued in the past two years for nuisance.

### **RULE 407: LIQUID AND GASEOUS AIR CONTAMINANTS**

This equipment is required to emit carbon monoxide (CO) not to exceed 2000 ppmv, measured on a dry basis, averaged over 15 consecutive minutes. The source test conducted on January 30, 2012 demonstrated that the new Maxon, Cyclomax low-NO<sub>x</sub> burner achieved 98 ppm CO at 3% O<sub>2</sub>.

### **RULE 1147: NOX REDUCTIONS FROM MISCELLANEOUS SOURCES**

The burner on the drying oven under A/N 521532 was replaced by a low-NO<sub>x</sub>, natural gas-fired, 2,700,000 BTU/hr burner. The facility source tested the oven in order to demonstrate that the new burner can meet the NO<sub>x</sub> emission requirement of 30 ppm, per Rule 1147(c)(1). There is also a 1 mm BTU/hr burner in the pre-heat chamber, but it is R219-exempt. Since there is only one oven exhaust, the concentration measured is for the combined burner NO<sub>x</sub>. The facility conducted the source test on January 30, 2012. The source test results report was approved and found to be 'conditionally acceptable' by the Monitoring and Analysis Division. The NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was tested at 23 ppmv @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> at normal-fire (46%). The corrected CO concentration was tested at 98 ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, also at normal-fire.

<b>SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE</b> Coating, Printing, Plating, Military and Entertainment Operations Team  <b>PERMIT APPLICATION EVALUATION</b>	Page	4 of 5
	App. number	521532, 521697
	Processed by	J Pandes Villacorte
	Reviewed by	SMKE
	Date	9/24/12

### REGULATION XIII:

- BACT:** There will be no increase in NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> or ROG emissions greater than 1 lb/day as a result of the burner replacement. BACT is not triggered. There is an increase in CO of 2.92 lb/day since the new burner is slightly larger than the existing burner. However, there is no additional BACT for CO from a natural gas fired oven. Compliance is expected.
- Offsets:** Since the new burner is low NO<sub>x</sub>, there is a decrease in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (<1 lb/day) even though the total BTU rating is higher. There is a slight increase in PM<sub>10</sub> due to the increase in BTU rating of the new burner. However since the emission increase is <0.50 lb/day, offsets are not required. The CO increase is 2.92 lb/day but offsets are not required since we are in attainment for CO.
- Modeling:** There will be a decrease of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from this project. The maximum CO and PM<sub>10</sub> emission increases will be much below the maximum allowable CO and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions for combustion sources <2 mmBTU/hr (Table A-1). See the summary table below. Therefore, no further modeling is required.

**Summary of Maximum Emission Increases for Project Modeling Analysis**

Burner Rating, (mmBtu/hr)	NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions		CO Emissions		PM <sub>10</sub> Emissions	
	Calculated (lb/hr)	Allowed (lb/hr)	Calculated (lb/hr)	Allowed (lb/hr)	Calculated (lb/hr)	Allowed (lb/hr)
<2 (increase)	-0.173	+0.20	+0.122	11.0	+0.004	1.2

### RULE 1401: MAXIMUM INDIVIDUAL CANCER RISK ASSESSMENT

There will be not a significant increase in health risk as a result of the burner modifications. The MICR increase due to the 500,000 BTU/hr increase in burner rating is expected to be  $\ll 1 \times 10^{-6}$  ( $7.8 \times 10^{-8}$ , actual). The HIA/HIC will also be  $\ll 1.0$ . See attached emission calculations and screening risk assessment spreadsheets. Therefore, compliance with this rule is expected.

### REG XXX

This facility is not in the RECLAIM program. The proposed project is considered as a “de minimis significant permit revision” to the Title V permit for this facility.

Rule 3000(b)(6) defines a “de minimis significant permit revision” as any Title V permit revision where the cumulative emission increases of non-RECLAIM pollutants or hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) from these permit revisions during the term of the permit are not greater than any of the following emission threshold levels:

<b>SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE</b> Coating, Printing, Plating, Military and Entertainment Operations Team  <b>PERMIT APPLICATION EVALUATION</b>	Page	5 of 5
	App. number	521532, 521697
	Processed by	J Pandes Villacorte
	Reviewed by	SMKE
	Date	9/24/12

#### Criteria Pollutant Emission Thresholds

Air Contaminant	Daily Maximum (lbs/day)
HAP	30
VOC	30
NO <sub>x</sub>	40
PM <sub>10</sub>	30
SO <sub>x</sub>	60
CO	220

To determine if a project is considered as a “de minimis significant permit revision” for non-RECLAIM pollutants or HAPs, emission increases for non-RECLAIM pollutants or HAPs resulting from all permit revisions that are made after the issuance of the Title V renewal permit shall be accumulated and compared to the above threshold levels. This proposed project is the 1st permit revision to the Title V renewal permit issued to this facility on September 2, 2011. Also included in this revision is an update to Section A with a new contact person and responsible official. The following table summarizes the cumulative emission increases resulting from this permit revision, the first since the Title V renewal permit was issued:

#### Title V Permit Revisions Summary

1 <sup>st</sup> Revision	HAP	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	SO <sub>x</sub>	CO
Replacement of existing burner with low-NO <sub>x</sub> burner in drying oven to comply with Rule 1147 requirements (A/N 521532)	0	0	0	0	0	3
Cumulative Total	0	0	0	0	0	3
Maximum Daily	30	30	40	30	60	220

Since the cumulative emission increases resulting from all permit revisions are not greater than any of the emission threshold levels, this proposed project is considered as a “de minimis significant permit revision”.

#### CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS:

The proposed project is expected to comply with all applicable District Rules and Regulations. Since the proposed project is considered as a “de minimis significant permit revision”, it is exempt from the public participation requirements under Rule 3006 (b). A proposed permit incorporating this permit revision will be submitted to EPA for a 45-day review pursuant to Rule 3003(j). If EPA does not have any objections within the review period, a revised Title V permit will be issued to the facility (Section D).